

1 Bingham McCutchen LLP
 2 DAVID M. BALABANIAN (SBN 37368)
 3 CHRISTOPHER B. HOCKETT (SBN 121539)
 4 JOY K. FUYUNO (SBN 193890)
 5 Three Embarcadero Center
 6 San Francisco, CA 94111-4067
 7 Telephone: (415) 393-2000
 8 Facsimile: (415) 393-2286
 9
 10 Attorneys for Defendant
 11 Intel Corporation

12
 13
 14
 15
 16
 17
 18
 19
 20
 21
 22
 23
 24
 25
 26

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

No. C-05-2831-MHP
STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO CONTINUE FILING DATE FOR DEFENDANT'S RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFFS' COMPLAINT

MAJOR LEAGUE SOFTBALL, INC., on behalf of itself and all others similarly situated,
 Plaintiffs,
 v.
 INTEL CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation,
 Defendant.

IT IS STIPULATED BY AND BETWEEN THE PARTIES, THROUGH THEIR
 COUNSEL AS FOLLOWS:

Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 6-2, Plaintiffs Major League Softball, Inc. and Defendant Intel Corporation hereby stipulate that Intel Corporation's response to Plaintiffs' complaint shall be due either 60 days after transfer of the above captioned case pursuant to any motion to coordinate or consolidate pre-trial proceedings per 28 U.S.C. Section 1407 or, in the alternative, 45 days after any such motion has been denied. The parties request this transfer because the plaintiffs in *Brauch, et al. v. Intel Corp.*, No. C 05-2743 (BZ) (N.D. Cal., filed July

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO CONTINUE RESPONSE DATE

1 5, 2005), a related matter, have filed a petition to coordinate or consolidate pre-trial proceedings
2 per 28 U.S.C. Section 1407, and the above-styled action has been identified as a related action to
3 that petition. As a result, the outcome of the pending petition will impact significantly the
4 schedule of this case.

5 This is the first stipulation between the parties. Because this litigation has just
6 begun, granting such a stipulation will not have any negative impact on the schedule of this case.

7 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED.

8 DATED: July __, 2005

9 Bingham McCutchen LLP

10

11

12 By: _____

JOY K. FUYUNO
Attorneys for Defendant
Intel Corporation

14

15

16 Saveri & Saveri, Inc.

17

18

By: _____

R. ALEXANDER SAVERI
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Major League Softball, Inc.

21

22

23

24

25

26

[PROPOSED] ORDER TO CONTINUE DEFENDANT'S RESPONSE DATE

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendant Intel Corporation's response to Plaintiffs' complaint shall be due either 60 days after transfer of the above captioned case pursuant to any motion to coordinate or consolidate pre-trial proceedings per 28 U.S.C. Section 1407, or, in the alternative, 45 days after any such motion has been denied.

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: _____, 2005

Honorable Marilyn Hall Patel
United States District Judge